UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

NORMA MARCELLA, *et al.*	CIVIL ACTION
VERSUS	NO. 24-780
HUNTINGTON INGALLS INC., *et al.*	SECTION M (4)

JURY VERDICT FORM

I. CAUSATION

1. Did plaintiffs establish by a preponderance of the evidence that exposure to asbestos while working at Huntington Ingalls, Inc. ("Avondale")'s facility was a substantial contributing cause of Ronald Marcella's mesothelioma?

YES: ✓ NO: _____

If your answer to this question is "Yes," proceed to question 2.

If your answer to this question is "No," sign and date the bottom of this verdict form and return to the courtroom.

II. NEGLIGENCE (Huntington Ingalls, Inc., ("Avondale"))

2. Did plaintiffs establish by a preponderance of the evidence that Huntington Ingalls, Inc. ("Avondale") was negligent AND that its negligence was a substantial contributing cause of Ronald Marcella's mesothelioma?

YES: ✓ NO: _____

If your answer to this question is "Yes," proceed to question 3.

If your answer to this question is "No," sign and date the bottom of this verdict form and return to the courtroom.

III. NEGLIGENCE (Third-Party Defendants)

3. Did Huntington Ingalls, Inc. ("Avondale") establish by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following companies was negligent AND that its negligence was a substantial contributing cause of Ronald Marcella's mesothelioma?

(*Answer "Yes" or "No" for each listed company.*)

Bayer CropScience ("Benjamin Foster")	YES:_____	NO: ✓
Foster Wheeler	YES:_____	NO: ✓
General Electric	YES:_____	NO: ✓
International Paper ("U.S. Plywood")	YES:_____	NO: ✓
Johns-Manville	YES:_____	NO: ✓
Paramount Global ("Westinghouse")	YES:_____	NO: ✓
Taylor-Seidenbach	YES:_____	NO: ✓
Uniroyal	YES:_____	NO: ✓

Proceed to question 4.

IV. STRICT LIABILITY (Third-Party Defendants)

4. Did Huntington Ingalls, Inc. ("Avondale") establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the following companies manufactured, supplied, or distributed a product that was unreasonably dangerous?

(*Answer "Yes" or "No" for each listed company.*)

Bayer CropScience ("Benjamin Foster")	YES:____	NO: ✓
Foster Wheeler	YES:____	NO: ✓
General Electric	YES:____	NO: ✓
International Paper ("U.S. Plywood")	YES:____	NO: ✓
Johns-Manville	YES:____	NO: ✓
Paramount Global ("Westinghouse")	YES:____	NO: ✓
Taylor-Seidenbach	YES:____	NO: ✓
Uniroyal	YES:____	NO: ✓

If your answer to this question is "Yes" as to ANY company, proceed to question 5.

If your answer to this question is "No" as to ALL companies, proceed to question 6.

5. Did Huntington Ingalls, Inc. ("Avondale") establish by a preponderance of the evidence that Ronald Marcella was exposed to an unreasonably dangerous product manufactured, supplied, or distributed by any of the following companies AND that this exposure was a substantial contributing cause of his mesothelioma?

(*Answer "Yes" or "No" for each listed company. Answer "No" as to any company for which you answered "No" in question 4.*)

Bayer CropScience ("Benjamin Foster")	YES:_____	NO: _____
Foster Wheeler	YES:_____	NO: _____
General Electric	YES:_____	NO: _____
International Paper ("U.S. Plywood")	YES:_____	NO: _____
Johns-Manville	YES:_____	NO: _____
Paramount Global ("Westinghouse")	YES:_____	NO: _____
Taylor-Seidenbach	YES:_____	NO: _____
Uniroyal	YES:_____	NO: _____

Proceed to question 6.

V. DAMAGES

6. Please express, in dollars, the total amount of money that fairly compensates Ronald Marcella for the damages he sustained, if any?

General (physical and mental pain and suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, etc.) $ 6,625,000

Sign and date the bottom of this verdict form and return to the courtroom.

New Orleans, Louisiana, this 11 day of February, 2026.

[redacted]

JURY FOREPERSON